

### **Interview Summary:**

Applicants' Attorney and Examiner Ly met for a Telephone Interview on May 29, 2008. Applicant's Attorney hereby submits a summary of the interview.

The claims discussed during the interview included outstanding claims 1-14 and 16-20. There was not exhibit or demonstration of the invention provided to the Examiner during the course of the interview. However, a brief description of the invention and its associated functionality was provided by Applicants' Attorney. This interview took place following the issuance of a Non-Final Office Action and prior to a Response thereto. There was a proposed amendment to claim 1 presented to the Examiner prior to the interview, and this served as the basis for the interview discussions. Issues discussed during the interview included the rejections set forth under 35 U.S.C. §§112 and 103(a). Suggested amendments were discussed to overcome all of the rejections outlined above. More specifically, an amendment to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, was agreed to. With respect to the prior art rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the differences between Applicants' invention and the prior art were discussed. The Examiner suggested that the claims be amended in a formal Response to the address the limitations in the proposed amendment and to tie in the first clause with the second clause. Accordingly, an agreement was reach with the understanding that the Examiner would have to update their search in view of the amendments discussed.